



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/762,991

01/21/2004

Julio P. Focaracci

5280

5993

22896 7590 06/20/2007

MILA KASAN, PATENT DEPT.
APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS
850 LINCOLN CENTRE DRIVE
FOSTER CITY, CA 94404

EXAMINER

SISSON, BRADLEY L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/20/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,991	FOCARACCI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	/Bradley L. Sisson/	1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) /Bradley L. Sisson/ (3)_____

(2) Sally A. Swedberg, Ph.D. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 11 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Proposed amendment, sent via email on 23 May 2007 (attached).

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2002/0039728 A1 (Kain et al.), US 2003/0232346 A1 (Su); US 2003/0026740 A1 (Staats).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sisson indicated that upon consideration of the proposed amendment tot the claims, the three US Pregrant publications raise issue of patentability under 35 USC 103(a). Mr. Sisson directed attention to Staats at paragraph 0003 as disclosing a device used in DNA analysis, and at paragraph 0072 teaching the use of lasers to create channels. Attention was then directed to Su, paragraphs 0022 and 0057 as teaching use of a variety of substrates, including nylon, and the use of a laser to create grooves, channels or holes in the substrate. And attention was directed to Kain et al., paragraphs 0046 and 0052, as teaching use of nylon and other materials as a substrate, the presence of grooves, and the use of a gasket in combination with an array. .

Sisson, Bradley

From: Sally A Swedberg [Sally.Swedberg@appliedbiosystems.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2007 1:59 PM
To: Sisson, Bradley
Subject: Draft Claims: USAN 10/762,991

Dear Examiner Sisson:

As per our telephonic conversation yesterday, please find in the attached file a set of draft claims for your review for Application No. 10/762,991, with filing date Jan. 21, 2004; entitled, "Laser Device and Method for Collapsing Hybridization Substrate"; Focaracci, et al. The application relates to apparatus and method for manufacturing a substrate for hybridization.

In closing, given your hectic schedule, I appreciate your willingness review of the draft claims for this case, and look forward to your response.

Best regards,

Sally A. Swedberg, PhD
Sr. Patent Agent
Intellectual Property Legal Department

Applera Corporation
Applied Biosystems Group
850 Lincoln Centre Drive
Foster City, CA 94404
Phone: (650) 554-2863
Fax: (650) 638-6677
swedbesa@appliedbiosystems.com

This email message and any attachments are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact me by reply email (swedbesa@appliedbiosystems.com) and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

6/11/07

LISTING OF THE CLAIMS

1. (Currently Amended) A method for ~~preparing~~ manufacturing a substrate for hybridization, the method comprising:

 positioning a porous layer on the substrate, wherein positioning the porous layer comprises coupling the porous layer ~~on~~ to the substrate; and

 collapsing ~~the~~ a portion of the porous layer with a laser to form a moat, wherein the moat is adapted to provide a bound boundary to a portion of the porous layer on which an array can be positioned.
2. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the collapsing occurs without substantially heating the portion of the porous layer on which the array can be positioned.
3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the porous layer comprises nylon.
4. (Currently Amended) A method for manufacturing, comprising:

 providing a substrate comprising a porous layer coupled to the substrate, wherein the porous layer is adapted for depositing an array;

 providing a laser assembly, wherein the laser assembly comprises laser;

and

 collapsing ~~the~~ a portion of the porous layer with the laser to form a moat.

JP 10/762,991

Amended Claims: Response Dated April 30, 2007
Confirmation Number: 5993
Application Serial No.: 10/762,991
Filing Date: Jan. 21, 2004
Focaracci, et al.

5. (Original) The method of claim 4, wherein laser assembly further comprises at least one of a linear actuator and a galvanometer scan assembly.

6. (Currently Amended) A method for ~~preparing~~ manufacturing a hybridization chamber, comprising:

providing a substrate comprising a porous layer, wherein a portion of the porous layer is collapsed with a laser to form a moat; ~~with a moat collapsed with a laser;~~

positioning an array on a portion of the porous layer bound by the moat;
and

positioning a gasket in the moat to provide a nonporous seal.

7. (Withdrawn) An apparatus for preparing a hybridization substrate, comprising:

a laser assembly adapted to collapse a moat in a porous layer on the substrate; and

a galvanometer scan assembly adapted to position laser light from the laser assembly on the porous layer.

8. (Withdrawn) The apparatus of claim 7, further comprising a thermal path on a bottom portion of the apparatus.

SECRET

Amended Claims: Response Dated April 30, 2007
Confirmation Number: 5993
Application Serial No.: 10/762,991
Filing Date: Jan. 21, 2004
Focaracci, et al.

9. (Withdrawn) The apparatus of claim 7, further comprising a linear actuator.
10. (Withdrawn) The apparatus of claim 7, further comprising a vacuum head.
11. (Withdrawn) The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the vacuum head is positioned adjacent to the galvanometer scan assembly.
12. (Withdrawn) A laser assembly, comprising:
a laser adapted to collapse a moat in a porous layer of a hybridization substrate;
a mechanism to position the laser light on a portion of the porous layer.
13. (Withdrawn) The laser assembly of claim 12, wherein the mechanism comprises at least one of a linear actuator and a galvanometer scan assembly.
14. (Withdrawn) A substrate for hybridization, comprising:
a porous layer, wherein the porous layer is adapted for depositing an array; and
a moat in the porous layer, wherein the moat is collapsed by laser.

DRAFT

Amended Claims: Response Dated April 30, 2007
Confirmation Number: 5993
Application Serial No.: 10/762,991
Filing Date: Jan. 21, 2004
Focaracci, et al.

15. (Withdrawn) The substrate of claim 14, further comprising an array.
16. (Withdrawn) The substrate of claim 15, further comprising a hybridization fluid.
17. (Withdrawn) An apparatus for preparing a substrate for hybridization comprising means for providing a moat in a porous layer on a substrate by laser means, wherein the porous layer is adapted for depositing an array.
18. (Withdrawn) The apparatus of claim 17, wherein the means for providing a moat comprise means for positioning the laser means.
19. (Withdrawn) The apparatus of claim 17, further comprising means for spotting the array on the substrate.
20. (Withdrawn) A system for automated preparation of substrates for hybridization comprising:
- a first linear actuator to position a laser assembly, wherein the laser assembly comprises a laser and a galvanometer scan assembly, wherein the galvanometer scan assembly is mounted on a second linear actuator; and
 - a third linear actuator to position a slide holder.

DRAFT

Amended Claims: Response Dated April 30, 2007
Confirmation Number: 5993
Application Serial No.: 10/762,991
Filing Date: Jan. 21, 2004
Focaracci, et al.

21. (Withdrawn) The system of claim 20, further comprising a fourth linear actuator to position a spotting head.
22. (Withdrawn) The system of claim 20, further comprising a camera to inspect a moat on the substrate.
22. (Withdrawn) The system of claim 20, further comprising a camera to inspect a moat on the substrate.
23. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, further comprising conducting heat away from a bottom portion of the substrate and retaining heat to focus on the moat.
24. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 4, further comprising providing a vacuum head for removing gasses generated by the collapsing of a portion of the porous layer with the laser to form a moat.
25. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, further comprising spotting an array on the substrate.
26. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, further comprising:

positioning the laser assembly with a first linear actuator;

providing a galvanometer scan assembly;

DRAFT

Amended Claims: Response Dated April 30, 2007
Confirmation Number: 5993
Application Serial No.: 10/762,991
Filing Date: Jan. 21, 2004
Focaracci, et al.

positioning the galvanometer scan assembly with a second linear actuator;
and

positioning the substrate with a third linear actuator.

27. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, further comprising
spotting an array on the substrate with a spotting head.

28. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 26, further comprising
positioning the spotting head with a fourth linear actuator.

29. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 4, further comprising
imaging the substrate with a camera to provide images of the moat for
inspection.

30. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 6, wherein providing the
substrate comprises positioning light from the laser with a galvanometer scan
assembly to collapse a portion of the porous layer to form the moat, with a
~~galvanometer scan assembly.~~

31. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 6, wherein positioning
the array comprises spotting the array on the substrate after collapsing a portion
of the porous layer to form the moat.

DRAFT

Amended Claims: Response Dated April 30, 2007
Confirmation Number: 5993
Application Serial No.: 10/762,991
Filing Date: Jan. 21, 2004
Focaracci, et al.

32. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 6, wherein positioning the gasket in the moat comprises providing adhesive between the gasket and the substrate.

DRAFT

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.